## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Thomas Begay Jr

Case Number: 1:06CR01442-002JB

USM Number: 32136-051

|   |   | Defense Attorney: <b>Don Kochersberger</b> , <b>Appointed</b>   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| THE DEFEN                                     | NDANT:  |   |  |
|   | admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) <b>Special, SC</b> of the term of supervision. was found in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt. |   |  |
| The defendar                                  | nt is adjudicated guilty of these violations:   |   |  |
| Violation<br>Number                           | Nature of Violation  SC - The defendant failed to answer trut officer and failed to follow instructions   | Violation Ended thfully all inquiries by the probation 08/15/2012 of the probation officer.   |  |
| The defendar<br>Reform Act o                  |   | h 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing  |  |
| ☐ The def                                     | endant has not violated condition(s) and is   | discharged as to such violation(s).   |  |
| name, reside                                  | nce, or mailing address until all fines, restitu  | cify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of tion, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. It ourt and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances. |  |
| 3762  |   | May 13, 2013  |  |
| Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No. |   | Date of Imposition of Judgment  |  |
| 1977  |   | /s/ James O. Browning   |  |
| Defendant's Year of Birth                     |   | Signature of Judge  |  |
| Gallup, NM                                    |   | Honorable James O. Browning<br>United States District Judge   |  |
| City and State of Defendant's Residence       |   | Name and Title of Judge   |  |
|   |   |   |  |
|   |   | May 14, 2013  |  |
|   |   | Date Signed   |  |

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Sheet 1 Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet

1A

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: **Thomas Begay Jr**Case Number: **1:06CR01442-002JB** 

## ADDITIONAL VIOLATIONS

Violation
Number

Nature of Violation
Violation
Ended

Special - The defendant failed to refrain from the use and possession of alcohol and other forms of intoxicants. He failed to refrain from frequenting places where alcohol is the primary item for sale.

SC - The defendant failed to notify the probation officer within seventy-two 07/28/2012

hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer.

## Case 1:06-cr-01442-JB Document 135 Filed 05/14/13 Page 3 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 3 of 3

Defendant: **Thomas Begay Jr**Case Number: **1:06CR01442-002JB** 

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 11 months.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 11 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

| ×      | The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:   |   |  |  |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
|        | Phoenix Federal Correctional Institution, Phoenix, Ariz   | zona, if eligible   |  |  |
|        | <ul> <li>The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:</li> <li>□ at on</li> <li>□ as notified by the United States Marshal.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |
| RETURN |   |   |  |  |
|        | e executed this judgment as follows:  |   |  |  |
| Defe   | ndant delivered on  | to with a certified copy of this judgment.  |  |  |
|        | at  | with a certified copy of this judgment.   |  |  |
|        |   | UNITED STATES MARSHAL   |  |  |
|        |   | By DEDIVITING THE STATE OF THE |  |  |
|        |   | DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL  |  |  |